

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/705,433	ISHIZAKA, KANYA	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOSE M. TORRES	2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) JOSE M. TORRES.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jarrett L. Silver (Reg. No. 60,239).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 December 2008

**Time:** 3:00pm (EST)

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claims 4, 12, 20 and 28.*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JOSE M. TORRES/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative was contacted to propose an Examiner's Amendment in order to amend the claims (See attached Examiner's Amendment) to recite statutory subject matter as required by 35 U.S.C. § 101 and in view of recent Supreme Court Decision. Also, a typographical error has been corrected in Claim 20.